(IEEPA), I hereby report that I have issued an Executive Order (the "order") that terminates the national emergency declared in Executive Order 12543 of January 7, 1986, and revokes that Executive Order, Executive Order 12544 of January 8, 1986, Executive Order 12801 of April 15, 1992, and Executive Order 12533 of November 15, 1985, I have determined that the situation that gave rise to this national emergency has been significantly altered by Libya's commitments and actions to eliminate its weapons of mass destruction programs and its Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR)-class missiles, and by other developments.

Executive Order 12543 of January 7, 1986, imposed sanctions on Libya in response to policies and actions of the Government of Libya that constituted an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. Those sanctions were modified in Executive Order 12544 of January 8, 1986, Executive Order 12801 of April 15, 1992, and supplemented Executive Order 12538 of November 15, 1985.

Based on Libya's recent commitments and actions to implement its December 19, 2003, commitment to eliminate its weapons of mass destruction programs and its MTCR-class missiles. and other developments, I have determined that the situation that gave rise to the national emergency declared in Executive Order 12543 has been significantly altered. My order, therefore, terminates that national emergency with respect to Libya and revokes Executive Orders 12543, 12544, and 12801, and lifts the trade, commercial, and travel sanctions imposed against Libva based on that national emergency. The order also revokes Executive Order 12538, which blocked the import of petroleum products refined in Libya into the United States.

While the order formally lifts sanctions under the national emergency with respect to Libya, it will not lift a wide variety of other sanctions imposed on Libya due to its designation as a state sponsor of terrorism under section 620A of the Foreign Assistance Act (restriction on foreign assistance), section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act (restriction on arms exports), and section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (restriction on exports of certain items on the Commodity Control List), as well as other statutory restrictions applicable to Libya.

I have enclosed a copy of the order, which is effective at 12:01 a.m. eastern daylight time on September 21, 2004.

GEORGE W. BUSH. THE WHITE HOUSE, September 20, 2004.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBER TO HOUSE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS TRUST FUND BOARD

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 1 of the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board Act (2 U.S.C. 154 note), the order of the House of De-

cember 8, 2003, and upon the recommendation of the minority leader, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following member on the part of the House to the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board for a 5-year term to fill the existing vacancy thereom:

Mr. J. Richard Fredericks, San Francisco, California.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken after 6:30 p.m. today.

LLAGAS RECLAMATION GROUND-WATER REMEDIATION INITIA-TIVE

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4459) to authorize the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation and in coordination with other Federal, State, and local government agencies, to participate in the funding and implementation of a balanced, long-term groundwater remediation program in California, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 4459

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Llagas Reclamation Groundwater Remediation Initiative"

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

- For the purposes of this Act:
- (1) GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION.—The term "groundwater remediation" means actions that are necessary to prevent, minimize, or mitigate damage to groundwater.
- (2) LOCAL WATER AUTHORITY.—The term "local water authority" means the Santa Clara Valley Water District.
- (3) REMEDIATION FUND.—The term "Remediation Fund" means the California Basins Groundwater Remediation Fund established pursuant to section 3(a).
- (4) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

SEC. 3. CALIFORNIA BASINS REMEDIATION.

- (a) California Basins Remediation.—
- (1) ESTABLISHMENT OF REMEDIATION FUND.— There shall be established within the Treasury of the United States an interest bearing account to be known as the California Basins Groundwater Remediation Fund.
- (2) ADMINISTRATION OF REMEDIATION FUND.— The Remediation Fund shall be administered by the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation. The Secretary shall administer the Remediation Fund in cooperation with the local water authority.
- (3) PURPOSES OF REMEDIATION FUND.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), the amounts in the Remediation Fund,

including interest accrued, shall be used by the Secretary to provide grants to the local water authority to reimburse the local water authority for the Federal share of the costs associated with designing and constructing groundwater remediation projects to be administered by the local water authority.

- (B) COST-SHARING LIMITATION.—
- (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not obligate any funds appropriated to the Remediation Fund in a fiscal year until the Secretary has deposited into the Remediation Fund an amount provided by non-Federal interests sufficient to ensure that at least 35 percent of any funds obligated by the Secretary for a project are from funds provided to the Secretary for that project by the non-Federal interests.
- (ii) NON-FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY.—Each local water authority shall be responsible for providing the non-Federal amount required by clause (i) for projects under that local water authority. The State of California, local government agencies, and private entities may provide all or any portion of the non-Federal amount.
- (iii) CREDITS TOWARD NON-FEDERAL SHARE.— For purposes of clause (ii), the Secretary shall credit the appropriate local water authority with the value of all prior expenditures by non-Federal interests made after January 1, 2000, that are compatible with the purposes of this section, including—
- (I) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to design and construct ground-water remediation projects, including expenditures associated with environmental analyses and public involvement activities that were required to implement the ground-water remediation projects in compliance with applicable Federal and State laws; and
- (II) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to acquire lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, disposal areas, and water rights that were required to implement a groundwater remediation project.
- (b) COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAW.—In carrying out the activities described in this section, the Secretary shall comply with any applicable Federal and State laws.
- (c) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER ACTIVITIES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect other Federal or State authorities that are being used or may be used to facilitate remediation and protection of the Llagas groundwater subbasin. In carrying out the activities described in this section, the Secretary shall integrate such activities with ongoing Federal and State projects and activities. None of the funds made available for such activities pursuant to this section shall be counted against any Federal authorization ceiling established for any previously authorized Federal projects or activities.
- (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to the Remediation Fund \$25,000,000. Such funds shall remain available until expended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) and the gentlewoman from the Virgin Islands (Mrs. CHRISTENSEN) each will control 20 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 4459, the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from California (Mr. Pombo), the distinguished, wise and principled chairman of the Committee on Resources.

Mr. POMBO. Mr. Speaker, I thank gentleman for yielding me this time.

Mr. Speaker, in California's Eleventh District, the City of Morgan Hill and surrounding communities, face a serious problem due to groundwater contamination with perchlorate. Hundreds of private and city-owned wells have been closed, and many residents are forced to rely on bottled water.

To help remedy this situation, I introduce H.R. 4459, the Llagas Reclamation Groundwater Remediation Initiative. This bill will provide \$25 million in Federal funding to assist the Santa Clara Valley Water District's efforts to identify the scope of the contamination and begin a comprehensive, long-term program to once again provide high-quality drinking water to the area's residents. This funding mechanism is based on a practical working model currently underway in the San Gabriel Basin in Southern California.

Everyone agrees on the need for safe drinking water for our communities. This bill reflects this consensus and puts words into action. It is my hope that this bill will act as a successful model for other areas of the country as well.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this important bill.

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume

(Mrs. CHRISTENSEN asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Mr. Speaker, before I speak to H.R. 4459, I just want to also take this opportunity to recognize the opening of the Smithsonian National Museum of the American Indian which will honor, memorialize, and teach the history and culture of the first people of this country and to welcome their representatives here to the Nation's Capitol.

Mr. Speaker, on H.R. 4459, many communities in California, and, in fact, throughout the Nation, are faced with the prospect of shutting down their drinking water supply wells because water has been contaminated with perchlorate or other chemicals. It is critically important we provide assistance to these communities so they can clean up their drinking water supplies.

H.R. 4459 will specifically provide assistance to communities in the Santa Clara Valley area of California. The Committee on Resources has also approved similar legislation, H.R. 4606, for Southern California, introduced by our colleague on the Committee on Resources, the gentleman from California (Mr. BACA). I support both bills and I

appreciate the support and leadership demonstrated by the gentleman from California (Mr. POMBO) on this important problem.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HONDA. Mr. Speaker, I commend Chairman POMBO for introducing the Llagas Reclamation Groundwater Remediation Initiative.

On January 16, 2003, residents of San Martin, Morgan Hill, and Gilroy in south Santa Clara County were shocked to learn that perchlorate had been detected in more than 800 area wells. The approximately 90,000 residents of the Llagas Groundwater Subbasin rely solely on groundwater for their drinking water supply, but the perchlorate concentration in more than 200 wells exceeds the California Public Health Goal of 6 micrograms per liter

From 1956 to 1996, the Olin Corporation owned and, along with Standard Fusee, operated a flare manufacturing facility on Tennant Avenue in Morgan Hill. During that time, waste water containing perchlorate was discharged to evaporation ponds on the site, which allowed perchlorate to enter the subsurface and contaminate groundwater. The perchlorate was first detected in a public water supply well across the street from the Olin facility in Spring 2002. Subsequent groundwater testing by Olin and the Santa Clara Valley Water District revealed a 10.5 mile long perchlorate plume contaminating the groundwater in the area.

As a result, bottled water is being provided to approximately 800 households, and thousands of other residents are receiving treated groundwater from the city of Morgan Hill, the West San Martin Water Works, or San Martin County Water District. The level of community interest in the situation and participation in efforts to solve it, has been unprecedented. The Santa Clara Valley Water District has held two public meetings to respond to community concerns, and approximately 800 people attended the first meeting, with 450 attending the second meeting. Water District staff continues to receive dozens of inquiries from the public every week

The Water District has spent more than \$2,000,000 addressing the perchlorate issue to date. In addition, the City of Morgan Hill has incurred costs for wellhead treatment and the city of Gilroy has incurred costs for contingency planning. The county of Santa Clara has incurred costs related to analyzing health data and communicating health risks to the community. Residents in the affected area have devoted their own time and resources toward finding solutions. The entire community has been affected land is working together to find solutions, and the Federal Government should help in any way it can.

While much work has been done on this contamination case, significant unknowns remain and many of the necessary remediation efforts, including containment of the 10.5 mile long perchlorate plume, have not yet been started. Residents still wonder when the contamination will reach their wells, whether it is safe to eat produce from their gardens or the store, and whether health problems of people they know are related to the perchlorate contamination. The community has the right to have its groundwater restored to the condition it was in before it was polluted. That cleanup

should begin now, before the plume affects any more areas.

H.R. 4459 establishes a program that can address the community's perchlorate needs and interests. The \$25 million specified in the bill provides a means of implementing overdue solutions for the community. The funding in the bill provides a means for local agencies to implement timely, necessary solutions to protect the community, for which they can be reimbursed by the responsible party at a later date. It is not meant to excuse responsible parties from their duties to remediate contamination.

In the past, Chairman POMBO, Representative LOFGREN, and I have been fortunate to secure appropriations for perchlorate groundwater remediation and cleanup in this area where our Congressional districts come together, but the implementing regulations have prevented the use of this funding to move many projects of interest to the community forward. The broad parameters of H.R. 4459, which authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to participate in the funding and implementation of a balanced, long-term remediation program for California, will provide for solutions the community is asking for.

Once again, I thank chairman POMBO for his hard work on this bill and for bringing it to the House floor quickly. I look forward to continuing to work with him in the future to solve the perchlorate problem in south Santa Clara County.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4459.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CASTLE NUGENT FARMS, ST.
CROIX, VIRGIN ISLANDS, NATIONAL PARK FEASIBILITY
STUDY

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2663) to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to study the suitability and feasibility of designating Castle Nugent Farms, located on St. Croix, Virgin Islands, as a unit of the National Park System, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2663

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE STUDY REGARDING CASTLE NUGENT FARMS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
- (1) Castle Nugent Farms, located on the southeastern shore of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, is the largest parcel of privatelyheld land in the Virgin Islands and has been an operating cattle ranch for 50 years.